

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/849,088 | 05/04/2001 | Vikram Rai | 2 | 9412 | |
| 46290 | 7590 09/07/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | S, MORGAN & AMERS | BEAMER, 1 | BEAMER, TEMICA M | | |
| 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2681 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 00/07/2000 | • | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|---|--|
| 09/849,088 | RAI, VIKRAM | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Temica M. Beamer | 2681 | , | |

| Advisory Action | 09/849,088 | RAI, VIKRAIVI | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Temica M. Beamer | 2681 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence addi | ess | | | | |
| • • | | | • | | | | |
| HE REPLY FILED 28 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(in Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension such as the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | f). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection |) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extensio final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | ension fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will not be entered b | oecause | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further of (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling and the content of the content of | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| | MICA BEAMER 91 2-105 MARY EXAMINER | Temica M. Beamer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2681 | | | | | |
| · PHR | | ALCOHIL 2001 | | | | | |

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Sumner taken alone does disclose user-identified information as evidenced by the fact that the system has the ability to queue transmitted voice messages and later send them to the desired user when the user's phone becomes available (store and forward). Therfore, the system has to have the identification of the user in order to be able to identify which user to later send the voice message.